PATENT COOPERATION TREATY From the REC'D. 0 5 AUG 2004 INTERNATIONAL SEAR AUTHORITY To: see form PCT/ISA/220 WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220 FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) PCT/IB2004/001238 Priority date (day/month/year) 15.04.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC 23.04.2003 G11B20/00, G11B23/36, G11B7/24, G11B19/04 KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V. 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: ☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☑ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date,

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 3.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Sucher, R

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2148



WRITTEN OPINION F THE INTERNATIONAL ARCHING AUTHORITY



Box No. I Basis of the opinion
With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
Inis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: a. type of material:
a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format of material:
☐ in written format
☐ in computer readable form
c. time of filing/furnishing:
☐ contained in the international application as filed.
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as
4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINIONS F THE INTERNATIONAL RCHING AUTHORITY



 The following document 	has not been furnished:	
	er application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)).	
translation of th	earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)).	
nevertheless been esta 2. This opinion has been	lished on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.	
nas been found invalid	stablished as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority Rules 43 <i>bis.</i> 1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the internation e is considered to be the relevant date.	clain
3. Additional observations, if ne	e is considered to be the relevant date.	onal
Box No. V Reasoned state industrial applicability; cita	e is considered to be the relevant date	onal
Box No. V Reasoned star industrial applicability; cita	e is considered to be the relevant date.	onal
Box No. V Reasoned state industrial applicability; cita	e is considered to be the relevant date.	onal
Box No. V Reasoned state industrial applicability; cital Statement	e is considered to be the relevant date. essary: ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or one and explanations supporting such statement Yes: Claims 1-12	onal

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPIN OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)



PCT/IB04/01238

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following document:

D1: WO 00/14734 A (JONES GARY) 16 March 2000 cited in the application.

2. Document D1 discloses an optically readable data storage medium containing primary data and security data. A beam of radiation is directed toward a data storage surface of the medium and reflected signals are converted into electrical signals. These signals are processed such that an output of the primary data is permitted only upon detection of appropriate security data.

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from the known medium in that an additional (e.g. thermoelectric or photoelectric) layer is provided which is able to deliver an electrical signal when illuminated by a light source. A combination of an optical signal delivered from the information layer and the electrical signal corresponding to a predetermined pattern from the additional layer forms a cryptographic key for decrypting encrypted data in the information layer. With that, illegal copying of the medium can be prevented while the medium is still easy to manufacture. Since such a solution is not suggested in the prior art, the subject-matter of claim 1 appears to involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

- Claims 2-8 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.
- Claims 9-12 define a device and method for reading/recording information from/to a data carrier according to claim 1. Consequently, the statement made with respect to claim 1 is also valid for claims 9-12.